



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

POLSINELLI SHUGHART PC
700 W. 47TH STREET
SUITE 1000
KANSAS CITY MO 64112-1802

COPY MAILED

SEP 1 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Sequeira, William J.
Application No. 09/725,792
Filed: November 29, 2000
Attorney Docket No. 600253-031

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b), filed July 9, 2009, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE), an Amendment & Response under 37 CFR 1.114, and an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS), (2) the petition fee, and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay.

As the Power of Attorney was only recently given to the petitioner, it is not apparent whether the statement of unintentional delay was signed by a person who would have been in a position of knowing that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. Nevertheless, in accordance with 37 CFR 10.18, the statement is accepted as constituting a certification of unintentional delay. However, in the event that petitioner has no knowledge that the delay was unintentional, petitioner must make such an inquiry to ascertain that, in fact, the delay was unintentional. If petitioner discovers that the delay was intentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

An extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum extendable period for reply. See In re Application of S., 8 USPQ2d 1630, 1631 (Comm'r Pats. 1988). Accordingly, since the \$1110.00 extension of time fee submitted with the petition on was subsequent to the maximum extendable period for reply, this fee is unnecessary and will be credited to petitioner's deposit account as authorized.

The file does not indicate a change of address has been submitted, although the address given on the petition differs from the address of record. If appropriate, a request to change the address of record should be filed. A courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the address given on the petition; however, the Office will mail all future correspondence solely to the address of record.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3206.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center AU 2421 for further processing of the RCE under 37 CFR 1.114 filed concurrently with the instant petition.



Liana Walsh
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: ARI M. BAI
100 SOUTH FOURTH STREET
SUITE 1100
ST. LOUIS, MO 63102